

Message

From: Maignan, Tawanda [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=55FEB19C04B64D36B629242FD3FA4912-TAWANDA MAIGNAN]
Sent: 7/22/2021 7:33:23 PM
To: Haley.Johnson@state.mn.us
CC: Bohnenblust, Eric [Bohnenblust.Eric@epa.gov]; Tindall, Kelly [tindall.kelly@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: Inquiry about Restrictive State Supplemental Labels

Hello Haley,

I hope this message finds you well. Your inquiry was recently shared with me regarding FIFRA Section 24(a) and implementing more restrictions around dicamba. As you may be aware in November of last year, the EPA updated the FIFRA 24(c) guidance webpage specifically highlighting the states regulatory authority under 24(a) – here is the link <https://www.epa.gov/pesticide-registration/guidance-fifra-24c-registrations>.

Generally speaking, the expectation would be for the State to establish a state rule that covers additional limitations on a particular chemical. I gather each state has a separate process for implementing localized restrictions and timing can be an impediment. However, I am not aware of any other options at this time, as a 24(c) is not the appropriate route to add more restrictions.

Please feel free to give me call should want to discuss further.

Regards,
Tawanda